

- Databases are typically not made to support analysis with a data mining algorithm
	- **Part pre-processing of data is necessary**
- Pre-processing techniques:
	- **Data Cleaning:** remove inconsistencies from the data
	- **Feature Engineering:** find the right features/attribute set
		- *Feature Subset Selection*: select appropriate feature subsets
		- *Feature Transformation*: bring attributes into a suitable form (e.g., discretization)
		- *Feature Construction*: construct derived features
	- **Sampling:**
		- select appropriate subsets of the data

Unsupervised vs. Supervised Pre-processing

- Unsupervised
	- **do not use information about the learning task**
		- only prior information (from knowledge about the data)
		- and information about the distribution of the training data
- Supervised
	- use information about the learning task
		- e.g.: look at relation of an attribute to class attribute

● WARNING:

- pre-processing may only use information from training data!
	- **Compute pre-processing model from training data**
	- apply the model to training and test data
	- **Outherwise information from test data may be captured in the pre**processing step \rightarrow biased evaluation
- in particular: apply pre-processing to every fold in cross-validation

Feature Subset Selection

- Databases are typically not collected with data mining in mind
- Many features may be
	- **n** irrelevant
	- **uninteresting**
	- **redundant**
- Removing them can
	- **nd** increase efficiency
	- **n** improve accuracy
	- **Perfollogier prevent overfitting**
- Feature Subsect Selection techniques try to determine appropriate features automatically

Unsupervised FSS

- Using domain knowledge
	- some features may be known to be irrelevant, uninteresting or redundant
- Random Sampling
	- **select a random sample of the feature**
	- **notally have be appropriate in the case of many weakly relevant** features and/or in connection with ensemble methods

- Filter approaches:
	- **Compute some measure for estimating the ability to** discriminate between classes
	- **typically measure feature weight and select the best n** features
	- **problems**
		- redundant features (correlated features will all have similar weights)
		- dependent features (some features may only be important in combination (e.g., XOR/parity problems).
- Wrapper approaches
	- search through the space of all possible feature subsets
	- **E** each search subset is tried with the learning algorithm

Supervised FSS: Filters

● foreach attribute *A*

- *W*[*A*] = feature weight according to some measure of discrimination
	- e.g., decision tree splitting criteria (entropy/information gain, gini-index, ...)
- select the *n* features with highest $W[A]$

Basic idea:

- a good attribute should discriminate between the different classes
- use a measure of discrimination to determine which attributes to select

Disadvantage:

- quality of each attribute is measured in isolation
- some attributes may only be useful in combination with others

Basic idea:

- in a local neighborhood around an example *R* a good attribute *A* should
	- allow to discriminate *R* from all examples of different classes (the set of *misses*)
		- therefore the probability that the attribute has a different value for *R* and a miss *M* should be high
	- have the same value for all examples of the same class as *R* (the set of *hits*)
		- therefore the probability that the attribute has a different value for *R* and a hit *H* should be low

 \rightarrow try to estimate and maximize $W[A] = P(a_R \neq a_M) - P(a_R \neq a_H)$

where a_X is the value of attribute A in example X

- set all attribute weights $W[A] = 0.0$
- for $i = 1$ to $m \in \left(\leftarrow$ user-settable parameter)
	- select a random example R
	- **n** find
		- *H*: nearest neighbor of same class (*near hit*)
		- *M*: nearest neigbor of different class (*near miss*)
	- for each attribute A

•
$$
W[A] \leftarrow W[A] - \frac{d(A, H, R)}{m} + \frac{d(A, M, R)}{m}
$$

where *d*(*A,X,Y*) is the distance in attribute *A* between examples *X* and *Y* (normalized to [0,1]-range).

FSS: Wrapper Approach (John, Kohavi, Pfleger, ICML-94)

- Wrapper Approach:
	- try a feature subset with the learner
	- improve it by modifying the feature sets based on the result

repeat

The induction algorithm itself is used as a "black box" by the subset selection algorithm.

FSS: Wrapper Approach

- Forward selection:
	- 1.start with empty feature set *F*
	- 2.for each attribute *A*
		- a) $F = F \cup \{A\}$
		- b) Estimate Accuracy of Learning algorithm on *F*

c)
$$
F = F \setminus \{A\}
$$

3. $F = F \cup \{$ attribute with highest estimated accuracy $\}$

4.goto 2. unless estimated accuracy decreases significantly

- **Backward elimination:**
	- start with full feature set *F*
	- **try to remove attributes**
- Bi-directional search is also possible

Example: Forward Search

- Advantage:
	- **find feature set that is tailored to learning algorithm**
	- considers combinations of features, not only individual feature weights
	- can eliminate redundant features (picks only as many as the algorithm needs)
- Disadvantage:
	- **very inefficient: many learning cycles necessary**

Comparison Wrapper / Relief

Note: RelieveD is a version of Relief that uses all examples instead of a random sample

- on these datasets:
	- forward selection reduces attributes w/o error increase
- in general, it may also reduce error

Figure by John, Kohavi & Pfleger

Feature Transformation

- bring features into a usable form
- numerization
	- some algorithms can only use numeric data
	- nominal \rightarrow binary
		- a nominal attribute with n values is converted into n binary attributes
	- binary \rightarrow numeric
		- binary features may be viewed as special cases of numeric attributes with two values
- discretization
	- some algorithms can only use categorical data
		- transform numeric attributes into a number of (ordered) categorical values

Discretization

- Supervised vs. Unsupervised:
	- **Unsupervised:**
		- only look at the distribution of values of the attribute
	- **Supervised:**
		- also consider the relation of attribute values to class values
- Merging vs. Splitting:
	- **Merging (bottom-up discretization):**
		- Start with a set of intervals (e.g., each point is an interval) and successively combine neighboring intervals
	- Splitting (top-down discretization):
		- Start with a single interval and successively split the interval into sub-intervals

Unsupervised Discretization

- domain-dependent:
	- suitable discretizations are often known
	- age $(0-18) \rightarrow$ baby (0-3), child (3-6), school child (6-10), teenager (11-18)
- equal-width:
	- divide value range into a number of intervals with equal width
	- age $(0,18) \rightarrow (0-3, 4-7, 8-11, 12-15, 16-18)$
- equal-frequency:
	- divide value range into a number of intervals so that (approximately) the same number of datapoints are in each interval
	- e.g., $N = 5$: each interval will contain 20% of the training data
	- good for non-uniform distributions (e.g., salary)

Supervised Discretization: Chi-Merge (Kerber, AAAI-92)

Basic Idea: merge neighboring intervals if the class information is independent of the interval an example belongs to

- initialization:
	- sort examples according to feature value
	- construct one interval for each value
- interval merging:
	- compute χ^2 value for each pair of adjacent intervals

$$
\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{(A_{ij} - E_{ij})^{2}}{E_{ij}} \qquad E_{ij} = N_{i} \frac{C_{j}}{N} \qquad N_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{c} A_{ij} \quad C_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{intervals}} A_{ij}
$$

 A_{ij} = number of examples in *i-*th interval that are of class j

 E_{ii} = expected number of examples in *i*-th interval that are of class *j*

- $\epsilon =$ examples in *i*-th interval N_i \times fraction C_j/N of (all) examples of class j
- merge those with lowest x^2 value
- stop

• when the χ^2 values of all pairs exceed a significance threshold

Supervised Discretization: Entropy-Split (Fayyad & Irani, IJCAI-93)

Basic Idea: grow a decision tree using a single numeric attribute and use the value ranges in the leaves as ordinal values

- initialization:
	- initialize intervals with a single interval covering all examples *S*
	- sort all examples according to the attribute value
	- \bullet initialize the set of possible split points
		- simple: all values
		- more efficient: only between class changes in sorted list

• interval splitting:

select split point with the minimum weighted entropy

$$
T_{\text{max}} = \arg\min_{T} \left(\frac{|S_{A < T}|}{|S|} \text{Entropy}(S_{A < T}) + \frac{|S_{A \geq T}|}{|S|} \text{Entropy}(S_{A \geq T}) \right)
$$

 \bullet recursively apply Entropy-Split to S $_{A < T_{max}}$ and S $_{A \geq T_{max}}$

● stop

- when a given number of splits is achieved
- or when splitting would yield too small intervals
- or MDL-based stopping criterion (Fayyad & Irani, 1993)

Example

Slide taken from Witten & Frank

19 © J. Fürnkranz

Unsupervised Feature Construction

- based on domain knowledge
	- Example: Body Mass Index

BMI = *weightkg* $height(m)^2$

- automatic
	- **Examples:**
		- kernel functions
			- **n** may be viewed as feature construction modules
			- $\blacksquare \rightarrow$ support vector machines
		- principal components analysis
			- **the transforms an n-dimensional space into a lower-dimensional subspace** w/o losing much information
		- GLEM:
			- uses an Apriori -like algorithms to compute all conjunctive combinations of basic features that occur at least n times
			- **application to constructing evaluation functions for game Othello**

Supervised Feature Construction

- use the class information to construct features that help to solve the classification problem
- Examples:
	- **Wrapper approach**
		- use rule or decision tree learning algorithm
		- observe frequently co-occurring features or feature values
		- encode them as separate features
	- **Neural Network**
		- nodes in hidden layers may be interpreted as constructed features

Scalability

- databases are often too big for machine learning algorithms
	- ML algorithms require frequent counting operations and multidimensional access to data
	- only feasible for data that can be held in main memory
- two strategies to make DM algorithms scalable
	- **design algorithms that are explicitly targetted towards** minimizing the number of database operations (e.g., Apriori)
	- use sampling to work on subsets of the data

Sampling

- Random Sampling
	- Select a random subset of the data
- Progressive Sampling
	- **start with a small sample**
	- **n** increase sample size
		- arithmetic: increase sample size by fixed number of examples
		- geometric: multiply size with a fixed number (e.g., double size)
	- stop when convergence is detected
- Sequential sampling
	- rule out solution candidates based on significant differences

Windowing

- Idea:
	- **fiecus the learner on the parts of the search space that are not** yet correctly covered
- Algorithm:
	- 1.Initialize the window with a random subsample of the available data
	- 2.Learn a theory from the current window
	- 3.If the learned theory correctly classifies all examples (including those outside of the window), return the theory
	- 4.Add some mis-classified examples to the window and goto 2.
- Properties:
	- **n** may learn a good theory from a subset of the data
	- **Peroblems with noisy data**